arXiv:0708.2539v2 [math.NT] 10 Nov 2007

THE ROMANOV THEOREM REVISED

HONGZE LI AND HAO PAN

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all primes and $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} \cup \{p_1p_2 : p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}\}$. We prove that the sumset

$$2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2 = \{2^p + q : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{P}_2\}$$

has a positive lower density.

For a subset A of positive integers, define $A(x) = |\{1 \le a \le x : a \in A\}|$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all primes and $2^{\mathbb{N}} = \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. A classical result of Romanov [5] asserts that the sumset

$$2^{\mathbb{N}} + \mathcal{P} = \{2^n + p : n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

has a positive lower density, i.e., there exists a positive constant C_R such that $(2^{\mathbb{N}} + \mathcal{P})(x) > C_R x$ for sufficiently large x. Recently, the lower bound of C_R has been calculated in [1, 2, 4]. Now let

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{q : q \text{ is a prime or the product of two primes}\}.$$

Motivated by Romanov's theorem, in this short note we shall show that:

Theorem 1. *The sumset*

$$2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2 = \{2^p + q : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{P}_2\}$$

has a positive lower density.

Proof. In our proof, the implied constants by $\ll$, $\gg$ and $O(\cdot)$ will be always absolute.

For $q \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}$, let $\psi(q)$ be the least prime factor of q. And we set $\psi(p) = 1$ if $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_2^* = \{q \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \psi(q) < q^{\frac{1}{3}}\}.$$

It suffices to show that $2^{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P}_2^*$ has a positive lower density.

In view of the Chebyshev theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_2^*(x) =&|\{(p_1,p_2):\ p_1\in\mathcal{P}\cup\{1\}, p_2\in\mathcal{P}, p_1^2<p_2\le x/p_1\}|\\ \ge&\sum_{\substack{p_1\in\mathcal{P}\\ p_1\le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}}\left(\frac{x/p_1}{5\log(x/p_1)}-\frac{5p_1^2}{\log(p_1^2)}\right)\\ \ge&\frac{x}{5\log x}\sum_{\substack{p_1\in\mathcal{P}\\ p_1\le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}}\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\log(x^{\frac{1}{3}})}\cdot\frac{5x^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\log(x^{\frac{2}{3}})}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P}_2^*(x)\gg x\log\log x/\log x$ since

$$\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}\cap[1,x]}\frac{1}{p}=(1+o(1))\log\log x.$$

Similarly it is not difficult to deduce that $\mathcal{P}_2(x)\ll x\log\log x/\log x$. Let

$$r(n)=|\{(p,q):\ n=2^p+q,\ p\in\mathcal{P},\ q\in\mathcal{P}_2^*\}|.$$

Clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\le x}r(n)=&|\{(p,q):\ p\in\mathcal{P}, q\in\mathcal{P}_2^*, 2^p+q\le x\}|\\ \ge&2^{\mathcal{P}}(x/2)\mathcal{P}_2^*(x/2)\\ \gg&\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\cdot\frac{x\log\log x}{\log x}=x.\end{aligned}$$

And by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{n\le x}r(n)\right)^2\le(2^{\mathcal{P}}+\mathcal{P}_2^*)(x)\sum_{n\le x}r(n)^2.$$

Therefore we only need to prove that

$$\sum_{n\le x}r(n)^2=|\{(p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2):\ p_1,p_2\in\mathcal{P},\ q_1,q_2\in\mathcal{P}_2^*,\ 2^{p_1}+q_1=2^{p_2}+q_2\le x\}|\quad(1)$$

is $O(x)$.

Below we shall show that the following

$$|\{q\le x-N:\ q,q+N\in\mathcal{P}_2^*\}|\ll\frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2}\prod_{p|N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\qquad(2)$$

is hold for arbitrary positive even integer N. Define

$$\mathfrak{S}(n)=\prod_{p|n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right).$$

As an application of Selberg's sieve method (cf. [3, Sections 7.2 and 7.3]), we know that

$$|\{1 \le n \le x : \; k_1 n + l_1, k_2 n + l_2 \in \mathcal{P}\}| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \mathfrak{S}(k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2). \tag{3}$$

for non-negative integers k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 with $(k_i, l_i) = 1$ and $2 \mid k_2 l_1 - k_1 l_2$. Observe that $n, n+N \in \mathcal{P}_2^*$ if and only if there exist $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $n/p_1, (n+N)/p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Assume that $n/p_1 = p_2 m + l$ where $1 \le l \le p_2$. Then

$$(n+N)/p_2 = (p_1 p_2 m + p_1 l + N)/p_2 = p_1 m + (p_1 l + N)/p_2,$$

whence $p_1 l \equiv -N \pmod{p_2}$. Note that l is uniquely determined by p_1 and p_2 unless $p_1 = p_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{n \le x : \; n, n+N \in \mathcal{P}_2^*, \; p_1 \mid n, \; p_2 \mid (n+N)\}| \\ \le &\begin{cases} |\{m \le x/p_1 : \; m, m + N/p_1 \in \mathcal{P}\}| & \text{if } p_1 = p_2, \\ |\{m \le x/p_1 p_2 : \; p_2 m + l, p_1 m + (p_1 l + N)/p_2 \in \mathcal{P}\}| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \ll &\begin{cases} \frac{x/p_1}{(\log(x/p_1))^2} \mathfrak{S}(N/p_1) & \text{if } p_1 = p_2 \mid N, \\ \frac{x/p_1 p_2}{(\log(x/p_1 p_2))^2} \mathfrak{S}(N) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{q \le x - N : \; q, q+N \in \mathcal{P}_2^*\}| \\ \ll &\sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{x/p_1 p_2}{(\log(x/p_1 p_2))^2} \mathfrak{S}(N) + \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \mid N, p \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \mathfrak{S}(N/p). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{x/p_1 p_2}{(\log(x/p_1 p_2))^2} \le \frac{9x}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_1, p_2 \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \ll \frac{x (\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2}.$$

And

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \mid N, p \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \le \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \le x^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \frac{x/p}{(\log(x/p))^2} \ll \frac{x \log\log x}{(\log x)^2}.$$

This concludes the proof of (2).

Let us return to the proof of (1). Clearly

$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 \le 2 \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 \le p_1 \le \log x / \log 2}} |\{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* : \; 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1, x]\}|.$$

If $p_1 = p_2$, then

$$\sum_{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x]} |\{q_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x] : \, q_2 = 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1\}| = \mathcal{P}_2^*(x) \ll \frac{x \log\log x}{\log x}.$$

And if $p_1 \neq p_2$, then

$$\sum_{q_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^* \cap [1,x]} |\{q_2 \in P_2^* \cap [1,x] : \, q_2 = 2^{p_1} - 2^{p_2} + q_1\}| \ll \frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \prod_{p \mid (2^{p_1-p_2}-1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Hence, by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 \ll & \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) \frac{x \log\log x}{\log x} + \frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 < p_1 \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} \prod_{p \mid (2^{p_1-p_2}-1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
\ll & \frac{\log x}{\log\log x} \cdot \frac{x \log\log x}{\log x} + \frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \sum_{k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} 2 \prod_{p \mid (2^k-1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ p_2 < p_1 \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \\ p_1 - p_2 = k}} 1 \\
\ll & x + \frac{x(\log\log x)^2}{(\log x)^2} \cdot \frac{2 \log x}{(\log\log x)^2} \sum_{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \prod_{p \mid (2^k-1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For any positive odd integer d, let $e(d)$ denote the least positive integer such that $2^{e(d)} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Then $2^k \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ if and only if $e(d) \mid k$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \le x} r(n)^2 \ll & x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{d \mid (2^k - 1) \\ d \text{ square-free}}} \frac{1}{d} \\
= & x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \\ e(d) \mid k}} \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
= & x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{d' \text{ square-free}} \frac{1}{d'} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \\ e(d) \mid k, d' \mid k}} 1 \\
\le & x + \frac{2x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \sum_{\substack{d, d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d), d']}.
\end{aligned}$$

Our final task is to show that the series

$$\sum_{\substack{d, d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2 \nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d), d']}$$

converges. Clearly

$$\sum_{\substack{d,d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2\nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d),d']} = \sum_{k>0} \sum_{d' \text{ square-free}} \frac{1}{d'[k,d']} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ e(d)=k}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

Let

$$W(x) = \sum_{0<k\le x} \sum_{\substack{d \text{ square-free} \\ e(d)=k}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

With help of the arguments of Romanov (cf. [5], [3, pp. 203]), we know that $W(x) \ll \log x$. And

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d' \text{ square-free}} \frac{1}{d'[k,d']} =& \frac{1}{k} \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P},p|k} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P},p\nmid k} \left(1+\frac{1}{p^2}\right) \\ \ll& \frac{1}{k} \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P},p|k} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \le \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \ll k^{-\frac{2}{3}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{d,d' \text{ square-free} \\ 2\nmid d}} \frac{1}{dd'[e(d),d']} \ll& \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{2}{3}} dW(x) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{2W(x)}{3x^{\frac{5}{3}}} dx + O(1) \\ \ll& \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{x^{\frac{5}{3}}} dx + O(1) \ll 1.\end{aligned}$$

All are done. □

Remark. Professor Y.-G. Chen told the second author two of his conjectures:

Conjecture 1. *Let A and B be two subsets of positive integers. If there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $A(\log x/\log 2)B(x) > cx$ for all sufficiently large x, then the set $\{2^a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ has the positive lower asymptotic density.*

Conjecture 2. *Let A and B be two subsets of positive integers. If there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $A(\log x/\log 2)B(x) > cx$ for infinitely many positive integers x, then the set $\{2^a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ has the positive upper asymptotic density.*

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor Yong-Gao Chen for his helpful discussions on this paper.

References

[1] Y.-G. Chen and X.-G. Sun, *On Romanoff's constant*, J. Number Theory, **106** (2004), 275-284.

[2] L. Habsieger and X.-F. Roblot, *On integers of the form $p + 2^k$*, Acta Arith., **122**(2006), 45-50.

[3] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, *Grad. Texts Math.* **165**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[4] J. Pintz, *A note on Romanov's constant*, Acta Math. Hung., **112**(2006), 1-14 .

[5] N. Romanov, *Über einige Sätze der additiven Zahlentheorie*, Math. Ann., **109**(1934), 668-678.

E-mail address: `lihz@sjtu.edu.cn`

E-mail address: `haopan79@yahoo.com.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA